
Study ID
ES (95% CI)
% Weight
Crude
Boeing et al. (1997) 0.24 (0.04, 0.44) 4.58
Chiplonkar et al. (2002) 0.12 (0.01, 0.23) 8.43
Cooney et al. (1995) 0.25 (-0.19, 0.69) 1.35
Drewnowski et al. (1997) 0.29 (0.23, 0.35) 10.89
EPIC group of Spain (1997) 0.46 (0.25, 0.67) 4.36
Jacques et al. (1993) 0.39 (0.24, 0.54) 6.23
Katsouyanni et al., FFQ1 (1997) 0.30 (0.04, 0.56) 3.19
Katsouyanni et al., FFQ2 (1997) 0.14 (-0.13, 0.41) 3.02
Malekshah et al., FFQ (2006) 0.35 (0.17, 0.53) 5.15
McKeowen et al., FFQ1 (2001) 0.42 (0.25, 0.59) 5.78
McKeowen et al., FFQ2 (2001) 0.39 (0.22, 0.56) 5.69
Porrini et al. (1995) 0.07 (-0.26, 0.40) 2.22
Schroder et al. (2001) 0.53 (0.27, 0.79) 3.24
Subtotal (I-squared = 50.6%, p = 0.019) 0.31 (0.24, 0.38) 64.13
E Adjusted
Boeing et al. (1997) 0.36 (0.17, 0.55) 4.82
EPIC group of Spain (1997) 0.45 (0.24, 0.66) 4.33
Jacques et al. (1993) 0.44 (0.29, 0.59) 6.39
Jacques et al., Supl. Excluded (1993) 0.40 (0.22, 0.58) 5.28
Subtotal (I-squared = 0.0%, p = 0.907) 0.41 (0.33, 0.50) 20.82
Supl. Excluded
Jacques et al. (1993) 0.34 (0.16, 0.52) 5.12
McKeowen et al., FFQ1 (2001) 0.44 (0.25, 0.63) 4.95
McKeowen et al., FFQ2 (2001) 0.45 (0.26, 0.64) 4.98
Subtotal (I-squared = 0.0%, p = 0.660) 0.41 (0.30, 0.52) 15.05
Heterogeneity between groups: p = 0.015
Overall (I-squared = 44.2%, p = 0.018) 0.35 (0.29, 0.40) 100.00
NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis
-.1
0
.1
.3
.5
.7