

## Reviewer's report

**Title:** Does the History of Food Energy Units Suggest a Solution to "Calorie Confusion"?

**Version:** 2 **Date:** 12 November 2007

**Reviewer:** Michi Yukawa

### Reviewer's report:

General

The revised article is much improved. The material is presented clearly and it is less confusing. The author has made all the changes I suggested initially.

-----  
Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

None

-----  
Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

None

-----  
Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

None

**What next?:** Accept without revision

**Level of interest:** An article of limited interest

**Quality of written English:** Acceptable

**Statistical review:** No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.