

Effect of Rye Bread Breakfasts on Subjective Hunger and Satiety: a randomized crossover trial

Hanna Isaksson^{1,2§}, Helena Fredriksson², Roger Andersson¹, Johan Olsson³, Per Åman¹

¹ Department of Food Science, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, SE-750 07 Uppsala, Sweden.

² Lantmännen Food R&D, Snickarvägen 9, SE-153 81 Järna, Sweden.

³ KPL Good-Food-Practice AB, Dag Hammarskjölds väg 10B, SE-751 83 Uppsala, Sweden.

§Corresponding author

Email addresses:

HI: Hanna.Isaksson@lantmannen.com

HF: Helena.Fredriksson@lantmannen.com

RA: Roger.Andersson@lmv.slu.se

JO: Johan.Olsson@kpl.uu.se

PÅ: Per.Aman@lmv.slu.se

Abstract

Background

Several studies report that dietary fibre from different sources promotes the feeling of satiety and suppresses hunger. However, results for cereal fibre from rye are essentially lacking. The aim of the present study was to investigate subjective appetite during 8 h after intake of iso-caloric rye bread breakfasts varying in dietary fibre composition and content.

Methods

The study was divided into two parts. The first part (n=16) compared the satiating effect of milling fractions of rye (bran, intermediate fraction (B4) and sifted flour), which were included in iso-caloric bread breakfasts. The second part (n=16) investigated the dose-response effect of rye bran and intermediate rye fraction, each providing 5 or 8 g of dietary fibre per iso-caloric bread breakfast. Both study parts included a wheat bread breakfast as iso-caloric reference and used a randomised, within-subject comparison design. Appetite (hunger, satiety and desire to eat) was rated regularly from just before breakfast at 08:00 until 16:00. Amount, type and timing of food and drink intake during the study period were standardised.

Results

The Milling fractions study showed that the rye bran bread breakfast (13.6 g of rye dietary fibre) suppressed hunger ($p<0.001$), increased satiety ($p<0.001$) and lowered the desire to eat ($p<0.001$) during the time period before lunch (08:30-12:00) compared with the wheat reference breakfast. Furthermore, a lower desire to eat ($p<0.05$) was shown for all three rye breads during 08:30-12:00 and 12:30-16:00

compared with the wheat reference bread breakfast. In the Dose-response study both levels of rye bran and the lower level of intermediate rye fraction resulted in an increased satiety ($p < 0.05$) during 08:30-11:00 compared with the wheat reference bread breakfast. Neither the variation in composition between the milling fractions nor the different doses resulted in significant differences in any of the appetite ratings when compared with one another.

Conclusions

The results show that rye bran bread can be used to increase satiety when included in a breakfast meal. However, despite variations in composition and amount of rye fractions used, no differences were seen between any of the rye bread breakfasts.

Background

Energy-dense foods that require little effort to consume and that are rapidly digested may cause passive over-consumption by failure to provide a feeling of fullness corresponding to the energy content. A diet that is predominantly based on such foods may lead to overweight. It is therefore important to identify properties of foods that facilitate energy balance by creating a high satiety per calorie. A number of studies have confirmed that foods naturally rich in dietary fibre promote the feeling of fullness and reduce hunger in the short term [1]. A recent review [2] concluded that a diet rich in whole grain cereals was associated with a lower body mass index and a lower risk for overweight. In the Western world the majority of the whole grain products eaten are based on wheat, while the consumption of oats and especially rye and barley is much lower. Oats are mainly consumed in northern Europe, northern America and Australia, whereas rye consumption is essentially limited to the Nordic

and eastern European countries [3]. Wheat is consequently the most studied whole grain cereal in relation to health status including satiety and weight regulation. Very few studies have compared the satiating capacity of dietary fibre from different types of cereal grains or cereal fractions. One study showed that rye consumed as whole boiled kernels resulted in higher ratings of subjective satiety compared with wheat kernels [4]. Results from a smaller investigation [5] indicated that whole grain rye is more satiating than whole grain oats, when eaten as porridge made from rolled flakes. That rye possibly has superior satiating properties may be due to its relatively high content of dietary fibre and possibly fibre composition. The main dietary fibre components of whole grain rye are arabinoxylan (AX) (8-12%), β -glucan (1.3-2.2%), cellulose (1-1.7%) and fructan (4.6-6.6%) [3]. The AX structure varies within different parts of the grain and a higher degree of water-extractable AX is found in the endosperm and a lower degree in the outer parts of the grain. Rye has been shown to elicit a lower rise in post-prandial insulin compared with wheat, despite a similar rise in blood glucose [6]. The relatively low insulin response was evident for bread with intact grains of rye [7] as well as for bread made with whole grain rye flour [8]. The insulin response does not seem to be related to any specific part of the rye grain but has been shown to occur after consumption of bran as well as sifted flour [9]. This difference in metabolic response between rye and wheat may also affect satiety.

In the present study we investigated subjective appetite (hunger, satiety and desire to eat) during 8 h after consumption of iso-caloric rye bread breakfasts. The study was performed in two parts. The first part (Milling fractions study) compared different milling fractions of rye. The second part (Dose-response study) included different levels of dietary fibre from rye.

Materials and methods

Participants

The criteria for inclusion were the following: age between 20 and 60 years; body mass index (BMI) 18-27 kg/m²; a habit of consuming breakfast, lunch and dinner everyday; fasting plasma glucose 4.0-6.1 mmol/L; haemoglobin (Hb) in men 130-170 g/L, in women 120-150 g/L; alaninaminotransferase (ALT) in men 0.15-1.1 μ kat/L, in women 0.15-0.75 μ kat/L; thyroid-stimulating hormone (TSH) 0.3-4.0 mIU/L and willingness to comply with the study procedures. Exclusion criteria were the following: intake of medicine likely to affect appetite or food intake; any medical condition involving the gastrointestinal tract; eating disorder; smoking; consumption of more than three cups of coffee per day; change in body weight of more than 10% three months prior to screening; consumption of any restricted diet such as vegan, gluten-free, slimming etc.; and pregnancy, lactation or wish to become pregnant during the study period. Information about the study was first sent out by e-mail, to employees at Lantmännen, Järna, Sweden. Potential participants were screened in a telephone interview and undertook a health control before being recruited. A total of eleven participants is the minimum number to detect a difference of 10 mm in hunger ratings over 4.5 h [10]. In all, 16 participants, 14 female and 2 male, met the criteria and were included in the Milling fractions study. A different group of 19 participants, 15 female and 4 male, were recruited to the Dose-response study.

Study design

A randomised, crossover design was used to compare the effects on subjective appetite 8 h after consumption of iso-caloric breakfast meals. The aim of the study or the content of the test products was not known to the participants. Each participant

acted as his/her own control and received each of the bread breakfasts in a randomised order on different occasions, separated by six to eight days. In the time between the test days, the participants kept their ordinary diet. On the day prior to each test day, participants were instructed not to conduct any vigorous physical activity or drink any alcoholic beverages; not to eat or drink after 20:00 and to eat similar type and amount of evening meal. During the test day the participants were asked to fill out a diary, briefly noting their food and beverage consumption and exercise patterns from the previous evening, to ensure that instructions had been followed. Upon arrival on the morning of the test days (08:00) the participants were served one of the breads together with additional breakfast foods, identical on all test occasions in both parts of the study. During intake of the breakfast, the participants were seated in individual booths and instructed not to talk to one another. At 12:00 the participants were given a standardised lunch meal. The breakfast and lunch meals had to be consumed entirely within 30 min. At 14:00 they were allowed to drink coffee or tea. The hot drink, along with a voluntary choice of milk and sugar, was kept identical for each of the participants on all test occasions. Subjective feelings of appetite (hunger, satiety and desire to eat) were assessed every half hour, starting at 08:00 and continuing until 16:00 (Figure 1). The first recording was made in the fasted state immediately before breakfast at 08:00 and then repeated every half hour until 16:00. The data were collected using a specially designed programme [11] on a palm computer (z22, China). At each appetite recording an alarm went off to remind the participant and these three questions were presented in sequence: 'How hungry do you feel right now?'; 'How full do you feel right now?' and 'How strong is your desire to eat right now?', along with three respective scales marked at opposite ends: not at all hungry/extremely hungry, not at all full/extremely full, extremely strong/not at all

strong. The computer mimics the use of pen and paper as it is operated by tapping on the screen with a rubber pen. Like the conventional 100 mm visual analogue scales (VAS) [12], the computerised system translates the mark that the participant makes along the scale to a number between 0 and 100. Written informed consent was obtained from each participant. The study was carried out in compliance with the Helsinki Declaration and approved by the Ethics Committee at Uppsala University.

Rye and wheat material

Three rye milling fractions were used (Table 1): rye bran (20% of the total grain), an intermediate rye fraction (B4) taken from the fourth brake roll in the milling process and sifted rye flour (80% of the total grain). The rye bran was high in dietary fibre, dark brown in colour and had a distinct taste of rye. The intermediate rye fraction, with a lower content of dietary fibre, was lighter in colour and much milder in flavour as the outermost part of the grain was absent. This fraction was chosen after a screening of ten milling fractions (analysed at Nordmills, Malmö, Sweden) because of its relatively high content of extractable dietary fibre. The sifted rye flour had the lowest content of dietary fibre of the three, was lightest in colour and had an even milder flavour. After the milling process, the rye bran contained larger particles than the intermediate rye fraction and sifted rye flour. To reduce the possible effects of structure/particle size, the rye bran was milled to a fine flour, similar to that of the intermediate rye fraction and sifted rye flour. Sifted wheat flour of high quality (Bagerivetemjöl, Nordmills, Malmö, Sweden) was used in all breads.

Test breads

To create breads with acceptable palatability and relatively soft texture, the amount of rye that could be used was limited to 60% of the total amount of flour for rye bran and

75% for the intermediate rye fraction. A higher content of rye resulted in compact breads with low ability to rise during fermentation.

When baking each type of bread, the amounts of ingredients (Table 2) were scaled up. Rye and wheat flour were mixed with gluten and salt, after which rape seed oil, syrup, yeast and water (25°C) were added. The dough was kneaded for 7 min using a kitchen food processor (Varimixer, Bjorn, Wodschow & Co, Denmark) and then left to rise for 40 min (34 °C). Thereafter the dough was divided into pieces corresponding to the portion sizes used and left to rise for another 40 min (rye bran and intermediate rye fraction breads) or 25 min (the sifted rye flour bread and wheat reference bread). The breads were baked at a temperature of 200 °C for 10 min. After cooling for approximately one hour the breads were stored frozen until the night before each test breakfast.

The different types of breads contained the same amount of energy per portion and were similar in protein, fat and available carbohydrate composition (Table 3). The main difference was dietary fibre content and subsequently the weight of each portion.

Meals

Food intake was standardised in terms of type, amount and timing during the test day. The rye breads and the wheat reference bread were served in random order on separate occasions as breakfast meals with identical additional foods: 10 g of margarine (40% fat), 25 g of apricot marmalade, 15 g cheese (26% fat), 200 g of milk (0.5% fat) and one cup of tea or coffee. The participants were allowed to choose between coffee and tea on the first test day and then received the same type of drink

for the following test days. The breakfast meal provided in total 1960 kJ (470 kcal). Although dietary fibre is known to contribute energy from short-chain fatty acids following colonic fermentation at around 8.4 kJ /g (2 kcal) [13], there is no universally agreed value and for this reason it was not included in the energy values given for the cereal flour. The standardised lunch consisted of a ready-made vegetarian pasta dish (Pasta pomodoro e mozzarella, Gooh!, Stockholm, Sweden) (400 g, 2040 kJ/480 kcal, 21 g protein, 64 g carbohydrates, 16 g fat), 50 g of cocktail tomatoes and 50 g of cucumber. At 14:00 the participants had a banana (Milling fractions study) or an apple (Dose-response study) and could choose to drink a cup of tea or coffee, the drink was then being kept identical on the following test days.

Data analysis

Ratings for satiety, hunger and desire to eat were analysed using Minitab (version 15, LEAD Technologies, Inc, USA). The level of significance was set at $p < 0.05$. Average ratings for each parameter (hunger, satiety and desire to eat) were summed for time intervals in the morning (08:30-10:00, 08:30-11:00 and 08:30-12:00) and in the afternoon (12:30-14:00, 12:30-15:00 and 12:30-16:00). Paired t-tests and Tukey comparisons were performed on the ratings after intake of the different test breakfast meals.

Results

All participants finished the breakfast and lunch meals completely according to instructions. No adverse events were recorded and no one had problems finishing the test meals well within 30 min.

Before breakfast the mean ratings for hunger, satiety or desire to eat were similar between test days. Appetite ratings showed a clear effect of time after breakfast and after lunch, i.e. the VAS ratings visibly demonstrated that the participants responded with lessened hunger and increased satiety directly after the meals and then consecutively rated less satiety and stronger hunger as the time for the next meal approached.

Milling fractions study

The Milling fractions study was designed to compare the satiating capacity of iso-caloric portions of three rye milling fractions (included in bread breakfasts) to one another as well as to the wheat reference bread breakfast. All of the 16 participants recruited initially (Table 4) complied with the study procedures and completed the study. On comparison of each of the rye breads with the wheat reference bread, the following observations were made (8:30-12:00): After consumption of the rye bran bread breakfast (13.6 g of rye dietary fibre/portion), the participants rated significantly less hunger ($p<0.001$), stronger satiety ($p<0.001$) and less desire to eat ($p<0.001$, Figure 2, Table 5). During the same period the effect of the intermediate rye fraction bread breakfast (5.6 g of rye dietary fibre/portion) was not significant for hunger or satiety, but was for desire to eat ($p<0.01$). Similarly, the sifted rye flour bread breakfast (2.5 g of rye dietary fibre/portion) did not have any significant effect on satiety or hunger, but lowered the desire to eat ($p=0.01$).

In the afternoon (12:30-16:00), after the standardised lunch, type of breakfast bread did not affect hunger or satiety. However, desire to eat was significantly lower ($p=0.05$) during the afternoon (12:30-16:00) after consumption of each of the three rye bread breakfasts compared with the wheat reference bread breakfast. When the

three rye bread breakfasts were compared with each other, no significant differences were seen in any of the appetite measures.

Dose-response study

The Dose-response study was designed to investigate the satiating capacity of four rye bread breakfasts with rye bran and intermediate rye fraction, each in amounts providing 5 or 8 g of rye dietary fibre/portion. Of the 19 participants recruited initially, 16 completed the study (Table 4). The three exclusions were due to failure to comply with the study procedures. On comparisons with the wheat reference bread breakfast the results demonstrated an increased satiety (08:30-11:00) even at the lower levels of rye bran ($p=0.05$) and intermediate rye fraction ($p=0.05$), as well as for the rye bran bread breakfast ($p=0.05$), which provided 8 g of rye dietary fibre/portion (figure 3, table 6). However, this effect was not significant when the time interval was extended to 08:30-12:00. The intermediate rye fraction breakfast, which provided 8 g of rye dietary fibre/portion, did not increase satiety. No effects were seen on hunger or desire to eat (data not shown).

In the afternoon (12:30-16:00), after the standardised lunch, type of breakfast bread did not significantly affect appetite. Similarly to the Milling fractions study, no significant differences were seen in any of the appetite measures, when the four rye bread breakfasts were compared with each other.

Discussion

The aim of this study was to investigate subjective appetite during 8 h after intake of iso-caloric rye bread breakfasts. Finely milled rye fractions were compared at different levels. When the appetite ratings after intake of the rye bread breakfasts were

compared with those after consumption of the wheat reference bread breakfast, significant effects on appetite were apparent in both studies. The most distinct effect was seen after the breakfast with the highest amount of rye bran (13.6 g of rye dietary fibre/portion). As the amount of rye bran was lowered in the Dose-response study (5 or 8 g of rye dietary fibre/portion), the effect was still evident but less distinct. The difference in effect between rye and wheat bread, but not between the rye breads, despite large differences in the amount and composition of rye, may be explained by some property present in all of the rye breads, for instance relating to bread structure.

The levels of rye used in the breads were based on realistic amounts to create palatable, voluminous bread. The bread portion, together with additional breakfast foods, comprised what would be considered a normal breakfast meal. The amount of calories corresponded to recommended breakfast intake. These considerations somewhat limited the amount of rye that could be used in the breakfast meals. Nevertheless, in both parts of the study the rye breads had an affect on appetite compared with the wheat reference bread indicating that the method was sufficiently sensitive.

In an earlier study [14] with similar design we showed that a rye breakfast (porridge made from whole grain rye flakes) was followed by an increased satiety not only in the morning but also in the afternoon, following a standardised lunch. When designing the present study we were interested in this effect, hence the standardised lunch and continued appetite assessment in the afternoon. The results were not as clear as in the previous study, but a reduced desire to eat in the afternoon was evident for all the rye bread breakfasts in the Milling fractions study. The effect may in part

be related to processing and food preparation, since in the previous study rye flakes were used to make the porridge whereas in the present study finely milled flour was baked into breads.

The mechanisms underlying the satiating effects were not investigated in the present study. However, the satiating properties of dietary fibre have been related to several stages in the physiological processes of short-term appetite regulation [15]. These include bulking effects resulting in increased extension of the stomach and, for some viscous dietary fibre, delayed gastric emptying causing the early signals of satiation to increase. Furthermore, pre-absorptive hormonal signalling at the level of the small intestine is essential in the induction and maintenance of satiety. Dietary fibre that delay absorption of nutrients may therefore lead to prolonged satiety by increasing the time that macronutrients are in contact with the absorptive surfaces. Finally, end products caused by colonic fermentation of dietary fibre, such as acetate and propionate, have been suggested to affect satiety [16]. How this effect is mediated is not clear. Suggested mechanisms are stimulated release of satiety hormones (GLP-1, PYY) by L-cells in the colon [16]. The increased satiety during the afternoon, several hours after the test breakfast, may be explained by colonic events.

In a broader perspective it is important to consider whether a dietary pattern providing a high satiety per calorie is potent enough to affect energy intake in real life, and in the long run facilitate weight maintenance and/or weight loss. It is evident that satiety signals are being overwhelmed to a certain degree by the potency of external cues to eating [17]. Longer term experimental studies show that an increased intake of dietary fibre results in a spontaneously lowered energy intake and a loss of body weight [18].

These results from experimental studies are also supported by observational studies that a diet low in dietary fibre is associated with an increased risk of obesity [19, 20].

The present study provides support for altering appetite towards an increased satiety by including rye breads in a breakfast meal.

Competing interests

The study was financed by the producer of the test products, Lantmännen. HI and HF are employed by Lantmännen Food R&D. The authors declare that the data presented in this publication represent a complete, true and faithful representation of the work performed.

Authors' contributions

HI, HF, RA, PÅ and JO participated in designing the study. HI recruited the participants and performed the study. RA and HI analysed the data. HI wrote the manuscript. All authors participated in revising the manuscript and read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Nilla Fors at Good Food Practice, Uppsala, for taking the anthropometric measures and blood samples.

Figures

Figure 1 Study outline of the test days. *Appetite ratings by Visual Analogue Scales (VAS).

Figure 2 Milling fractions study. Mean appetite ratings (n=16) after consumption of the four breakfast meals including breads with: rye bran (—○—), intermediate rye fraction (—△—), sifted rye flour (—□—) and sifted wheat flour (—■—).

Figure 3 Dose-response study. Mean satiety ratings (n=16) after consumption of the five breakfast meals including breads with: rye bran (8 g rye dietary fibre/portion) (—○—), intermediate rye fraction (8 g rye dietary fibre/portion) (—△—), rye bran (5 g rye dietary fibre/portion) (—●—), intermediate rye fraction (5 g rye dietary fibre/portion) (—▲—), and sifted wheat flour (—■—).

Tables

Table 1. Nutritional composition of the rye and wheat milling fractions used in the breads (per 100 g)¹

	Rye			Wheat
	Bran	Intermediate fraction	Sifted flour	Sifted flour
Energy kJ (kcal)	1000 (240)	1250 (300)	1370 (330)	1430 (340)
Water (g)	10.5	12.2	12.9	13.8
Protein (g)	16	13	8.1	11
Fat (g)	4.4	2.9	1.7	2.0
Available carbohydrate (g)	33	54	69	68
Ash (g)	3.6	1.4	0.8	0.6
Total dietary fibre (g)	32.2	16.4	8.0	2.5
extractable (g)	5.2	7.2	3.5	0.7
unextractable (g)	27	9.2	4.5	1.8

¹The samples were analysed in duplicate by AnalyCen, Lidköping, Sweden. Total dietary fibre was analysed according to AOAC 45.4.07 and unextractable dietary fibre according to AOAC 32.1.16. Extractable dietary fibre was calculated by difference. Available carbohydrate was calculated by difference (total weight minus water, protein, fat, ash and total dietary fibre).

Table 2. Cereal ingredients (g) and water (g) used in one bread portion¹ (1090 kJ²/260 kcal)

	Rye			Wheat	Water ⁴
	Bran	Intermediate Fraction	Sifted flour	Sifted flour ³	
Milling fractions study ⁵					
Rye bran bread	42	-	-	30	80
Intermediate rye fraction bread	-	34	-	30	70
Sifted rye flour bread	-	-	31	30	45
Wheat reference bread	-	-	-	60	40
Dose-response study ⁶					
Rye bran bread (8 g dietary fibre)	25	-	-	42	60
Rye bran bread (5 g dietary fibre)	16	-	-	49	55
Intermediate rye fraction bread (8 g dietary fibre)	-	49	-	17	70
Intermediate rye fraction bread (5 g dietary fibre)	-	30	-	33	70
Wheat reference bread	-	-	-	60	40

¹Additional ingredients used for each bread portion were: 3 g syrup, 3 g rape seed oil, 4 g gluten, 4 g yeast and 1 g salt.

²The energy value of the breads were calculated based on the energy values of the ingredients using Dietist XP, version 3.0, Bromma, Sweden.

³ The amount of wheat flour was adjusted to equalise the amount of energy (kJ) per bread portion.

⁴ The water content was adjusted to create a good dough consistency. More water was required at higher levels of dietary fibre, especially extractable.

⁵ The amount of rye corresponded to 240 kJ (100 kcal) per portion.

⁶The amount of rye corresponded to the two levels of dietary fibre.

Table 3. Nutrient content per bread portion. One portion equals 1090 kJ (260 kcal)¹

	Portion size (g)	Protein (g)	Fat (g)	Available carbohydrates (g)	Total dietary fibre (g)	Dietary fibre from rye (g)
Milling fractions study						
Rye bran bread	133	13.5	5.5	38	14.5	13.6
Intermediate rye fraction bread	120	11.0	4.5	42	6.5	5.6
Sifted rye flour bread	100	9.5	4.0	45	3.5	2.5
Wheat reference bread	98	10.0	4.0	44	1.5	0
Dose-response study						
Bran bread (8 g dietary fibre)	121	12.0	5.0	40	9.0	8.0
Bran bread (5 g dietary fibre)	114	11.5	4.5	42	6.0	5.0
Intermediate fraction bread (8 g dietary fibre)	126	11.5	4.5	41	8.5	8.0
Intermediate fraction bread (5 g dietary fibre)	123	11.0	4.5	42	6.0	5.0
Wheat reference bread	98	10.0	4.0	44	1.5	0

¹Nutrient and energy content were calculated based on the ingredients included (Dietist XP, version 3.0, Bromma, Sweden). The composition of the rye and wheat flours was analysed by AnalyCen, Lidköping, Sweden) and nutrient composition for additional ingredients were manufacturer's values. Thus, any alteration in composition that occurred during the baking process was not taken into account.

Table 4. Participant characteristics

	Milling fractions study ¹		Dose-response study ²	
	Mean ± SD	Range	Mean ± SD	Range
Age (years)	35 ± 10	24-59	38 ± 12	23-60
Body mass index (kg/m ²)	22 ± 2.8	18-27	23 ± 2.0	19-26
Capillary fasting plasma glucose (mmol/L)	5.1 ± 0.5	4.1-5.9	5.4 ± 0.4	4.6-6.1
Thyroid-stimulating hormone (mIU/L)	1.6 ± 0.7	0.9-3.8	1.3 ± 0.6	0.3-2.6
Haemoglobin (g/L)	136 ± 6	125-148	142 ± 9	127-156
Alaninaminotransferase (µkat/L)	0.3 ± 0.1	0.2-0.7	0.6 ± 0.2	0.3-1.1

¹ n=16; 14 female, 2 male

² n=16; 13 female, 3 male

References

1. Slavin J, Green H: **Dietary fibre and satiety.** *Nutr Bull* 2007, **32** (suppl 1):32–42.
2. Williams PG, Grafenauer SJ, O'Shea JE: **Cereal grains, legumes, and weight management: a comprehensive review of the scientific evidence.** *Nutr Rev* 2008, **66**:171-182.
3. Kamal-Eldin A, Åman P, Zhang J-X, Bach Knudsen K-E, Poutanen K: **Rye bread and other rye products.** In *Technology of functional cereal products*. Edited by Hamaker BR. Cambridge: Woodhead Publishing Limited; 2007:233-260.
4. Östman E, Silva LB, Björck I: **Influence of food form on acute and second-meal glucose tolerance to wheat and rye products.** *Poster presented at International ICC Conference on Rye, 2007* [<http://www.appliednutrition.lth.se/forskning/postrar/>].
5. Isaksson H, Fredriksson F, Åman P: **The effect of cereal based breakfast meals on satiety and voluntary energy intake.** In *Proceedings of the Nordic Nutrition Conference: 1-4 June 2008; Copenhagen*, Edited by Tetens I; 2008:69.
6. Leinonen K, Liukkonen K, Poutanen K, Uusitupa M, Mykkänen H: **Rye bread decreases postprandial insulin response but does not alter glucose response in healthy Finnish subjects.** *Eur J Clin Nutr* 1999, **53**:262-267.
7. Liljeberg H, Granfeldt Y, Björck I: **Metabolic responses to starch in bread containing intact kernels versus milled flour.** *Eur J Clin Nutr* 1992, **46**:561-575.
8. Juntunen KS, Niskanen LK, Liukkonen KH, Poutanen KS, Holst JJ, Mykkänen HM: **Postprandial glucose, insulin, and incretin responses to grain products in healthy subjects.** *Am J Clin Nutr* 2002, **75**:254-262.

-
9. Juntunen KS, Laaksonen DE, Autio K, Niskanen LK, Holst JJ, Savolainen KE, Liukkonen KH, Poutanen KS, Mykkänen HM: **Structural differences between rye and wheat breads but not total fiber content may explain the lower postprandial insulin response to rye bread.** *Am J Clin Nutr* 2003, **78**:957-964.
10. Flint A, Raben A, Blundell JE, Astrup A: **Reproducibility, power and validity of visual analogue scales in assessment of appetite sensations in single test meal studies.** *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord* 2000, **24**:38-48.
11. Stratton RJ, Stubbs RJ, Hughes DA, King N, Blundell JE, Elia M: **Comparison of the traditional paper visual analogue scales questionnaires with an Apple Newton electronic rating system (EARS) in free living subjects feeding ad libitum.** *Eur J of Clin Nutr* 1998, **52**:737-741.
12. Stubbs RJ, Hughes DA, Johnstone AM, Rowley E, Reid C, Elia M, Stratton R, Delargy H, King N, Blundell JE: **The use of visual analogue scales to assess motivation to eat in human subjects: a review of their reliability and validity with an evaluation of new hand-held computerized systems for temporal tracking of appetite ratings.** *Br J Nutr* 2000, **84**:405-415.
13. FAO Food and Nutrition Paper No. 66: **Carbohydrates in human nutrition. Report of a Joint FAO/WHO Expert Consultation.** Rome, 1998.
14. Isaksson H, Sundberg B, Åman P, Fredriksson H, Olsson J: **Whole grain rye porridge breakfast improves satiety compared to refined wheat bread breakfast.** *Food Nutr Res* 2008, doi: 10.3402/fnr.v52i0.1809. Epub 2008 Jul 28.
15. Burton-Freeman B: **Dietary fibre and energy regulation.** *J Nutr* 2000, **130**(Suppl 2): 272-275.

-
16. Peters HPF, Mela DJ: **The role of the gastrointestinal tract in satiation, satiety, and, food intake: evidence from research in humans.** In: *Appetite and food intake: behavioural and physiological considerations*. Edited by Harris RBS, Mattes RD. Boca Raton: Taylor & Francis; 2008:187-211.
17. Blundell JE: **Perspective on the central control of appetite.** *Obesity* 2006, **14**(Suppl 4):160-163.
18. Howarth NC, Saltzman E, Roberts SB: **Dietary fibre and weight regulation.** *Nutr Rev* 2001, **59**: 129-139.
19. WHO Technical Report Series No 916: **Diet, Nutrition and the Prevention of Chronic Diseases.** Geneva, 2003.
20. Lairon D: **Dietary fiber intake and risk factors for cardiovascular disease in French adults.** *Am J Clin Nutr* 2005, **82**:1185–1194.




Figure 1






Figure 2




Figure 3

Additional files provided with this submission:

Additional file 1: table 5 and table 6.doc, 67K

<http://www.nutritionj.com/imedia/7096346572684939/supp1.doc>